Cabin Bar Ranch Water Bottling Facility Project 2020 Vegetation Monitoring Report

Prepared for:

CG Roxane, LLC

Prepared by:
Kleinfelder/GANDA

2601 Mission Street, Suite 600

San Francisco, CA 94110

May 2021



Table of Contents

1.	0	Introduction	3
2.	0	Methods	3
	2.1	Assessment of Vegetation Health (Vegetation Cross-Section Method)	3
	2.2 Spe	Measurement of Riparian and Wetland Vegetation and Woody Riparian ecies Regeneration (Greenline Method and Woody Species Regeneration)	6
	2.3	Monitoring Stations and Monitoring Regime	6
	2.4	Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP)	7
	2.4.	.1 Vegetation transects	7
	2.4.	.2 Spring Fault Vegetation	7
	2.4.	.3 Physical Habitat Conditions	7
	2.4.	.4 Benthic Macroinvertebrate Surveys	7
3.	0	Results	8
	3.1	Assessment of Vegetation Health (Vegetation Cross-Section Method)	9
	3.2 Spe	Measurement of Riparian and Wetland Vegetation and Woody Riparian ecies Regeneration (Greenline Method and Woody Species Regeneration)	.12
	G	Greenline (South bank)	.12
	G	Greenline (North bank)	13
	3.3 Moi	Monitoring Stations and Monitoring Regime and Groundwater Mitigation nitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP) transects	
	3.4	Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP)	18
	3.4.	.1 Vegetation transects	18
	3.4.	.2 Spring Fault Vegetation	18
	3.4.	.3 Physical Habitat Conditions	26
		.4 Benthic Macroinvertebrates collected from Cabin Bar Ranch springs, Owelley, CA, November 20, 2016 and November 29, 2018	
4.	0	References	30
Fi	gure	es ·	
Fi	gure	e 1: Vegetation Monitoring Transect Locations	5
c:		2. Decemperation Tally for the Crandina Courth Dank	40
	_	e 2: Regeneration Tally for the Greenline – South Bank e 3: Regeneration Tally for the Greenline – North Bank	

i

Tables

Table 1: Definition of Wetland Indicator Status......8

Appendices

Appendix A: Photo-documentation

Appendix B: List of plants found at Cabin Bar Ranch

1.0 Introduction

Garcia and Associates (GANDA) is pleased to submit the following report describing vegetation monitoring conducted on the Cabin Bar Ranch in 2020. The purpose of the monitoring described in this report is to fulfill both the requirements described in mitigation measure BIO-4: Riparian and Wetland Monitoring and Adaptive Management Program (RWMAMP) of the Crystal Geyser Roxane Cabin Bar Ranch Water Bottling Facility Project Final EIR (PCR Services 2012) and the vegetation component of the Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP) (GeoSyntec Consultants and Garcia and Associates 2014).

The RWMAMP requires three sampling methods to inventory and monitor the vegetation resources in jurisdictional areas identified on portions of the Cabin Bar Ranch. These methods are: 1) the vegetation cross-section method that evaluates the health of vegetation across a riparian corridor; 2) the greenline method (Winward 2000) that provides a measurement of the streambed associated vegetation and/or wetlands; and 3) woody species regeneration that measures the density and age class structure of shrub and tree species that may be in the sampling area. In addition to these monitoring requirements, the GMMRP provides for vegetation monitoring at four transects and at four springs along the Spring Fault Line to determine if there are significant impacts from project groundwater pumping on the Cabin Bar Ranch to groundwater dependent habitats. In addition to vegetation monitoring at the four springs, the GMMRP requires aquatic organism sampling focused on benthic macroinvertebrates and monitoring of spring flow rate at each of the four springs.

This report presents habitat conditions during the baseline monitoring period (2014-2016) prior to groundwater pumping and the third-year post water extraction (fall 2019 and winter 2020).

2.0 Methods

The following sections describe methods used by GANDA botanists while conducting baseline surveys on August 6, 2014 and October 12, 2016 for four springs located along the Spring Line Fault on Cabin Bar Ranch Property, the surveys conducted on August 5-7, 2014 to fulfill requirements for RWMAMP and GMMRP, and the third year post water extraction surveys on September 30 - October 1, 2020.

2.1 Assessment of Vegetation Health (Vegetation Cross-Section Method)

To assess vegetation health, GANDA botanists established five transects perpendicular to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), and Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) jurisdiction associated with Cartago Creek and three monitoring transects within jurisdictional wetland areas elsewhere on the Cabin Bar Ranch (Figure 1; transects 1-8). The transect locations were selected to best represent the riparian and/or wetland communities being monitored. To the extent practicable, transects were long enough to span the observed riparian corridor and delineated wetland edge. Species composition and cover data were collected every 0.5 meter. Total cover was determined by dividing the number of points where vegetation cover was observed by the total number of

sample points on the transect. Composition data was determined by dividing the number of points where a particular plant species was observed by the total number of sample points where vegetation cover was observed on the transect. Photographs were also taken in the direction of the transect from the start and end points and are provided in Appendix A.




Figure 1: Vegetation Monitoring Transect Locations

2.2 Measurement of Riparian and Wetland Vegetation and Woody Riparian Species Regeneration (Greenline Method and Woody Species Regeneration)

GANDA botanists carried out a modified "greenline" survey (Winward 2000) to evaluate the immediate riparian and wetland edge vegetation composition associated with jurisdictional areas. In a standard greenline survey, typically conducted in a perennial to intermittent creek setting, the greenline is identified by the line of riparian and wetland vegetation along the water's edge. Due to the ephemeral nature of flows in Cartago Creek, understory wetland vegetation is completely lacking, and in this case the "greenline" was identified as the ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The OHWM is defined as the high flow water mark on the bank of the creek in a "normal" year and is the line that demarcates the federal jurisdiction over the creek. In the case of Cartago Creek, the OHWM was identified using physical indicators such as shelving on the bank, deposits of litter, a change in vegetation, and sediment sorting.

The beginning of the greenline transect was established at the crossing of the farthest uphill cross-section transect (transect 1 on Figure 1), on the south bank of Cartago Creek. A 100-meter long tape was pulled downstream along the OHWM of the creek, and then again from that point upstream along the north bank back to the starting point. Data on riparian and wetland plant species (obligate and facultative hydrophytes), percent canopy cover (calculated as the number of hits versus the total number possible) and understory was collected every 2 meters. Percent cover and species composition was calculated as described above for the cross-section method.

In addition to data collected on vegetation cover and composition, the botanists also recorded data on woody riparian species regeneration while surveying the greenline. Sampling took place at the same locations (i.e., every 2 meters). All woody plants rooted within a 1-meter radius were tallied based on ageclass categories (sprout, young, mature, decadent and dead, as defined by Winward [2000]). Data were analyzed for age-class distribution and species composition as described above.

2.3 Monitoring Stations and Monitoring Regime

GANDA botanists established three monitoring stations to evaluate the relationship between increased pumping of groundwater and the health of riparian and wetland vegetation, as well as the role of rainfall, snowmelt runoff, and/or inputs from several natural springs. The "stations" were located as follows and illustrated on Figure 1) just downstream from the point where Cartago Creek's bed and bank characteristics are lost due to sheet flow (transect "E"); 2) near CGR-9, a natural spring (transect "A"); and 3) south of Cabin Bar Ranch near the existing bottling facility (transect "C"). It should be noted that the start point for transect "E" is the same start point for transect number 6 in Section 2.1 above. Each station consisted of a 60-meter-long transect. Each transect was sampled with a meter square quadrat at 10-meter intervals for a total of 7 sampling points (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 meters). Quadrat samples were placed so that the transect tape line bisected the quadrat. Within each quadrat, all plant species were recorded along with percent cover.

2.4 Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP)

2.4.1 Vegetation transects

As part of the GMMRP monitoring requirement, GANDA botanists surveyed transects B and D on Figure 1 following the same methodology described in Section 2.3 above. Data gathered from surveys at transects A and C were used to fulfill both the requirements described in BIO-4 for the RWMAMP, as well as the vegetation monitoring required as part of the GMMRP.

2.4.2 Spring Fault Vegetation

Vegetation surveys were conducted around four springs (CBS-2, CBS-4, CBS-6 and CBS-9) located along the Spring Line Fault on Cabin Bar Ranch property (Figure 1). The goal of the survey was to describe the vegetation species composition and structure of plant communities around each spring. The springs are described in order from northernmost to southernmost, and are referred to using a numbering system instituted by CG Roxane.

At each spring location, the vegetation was characterized by recording percent cover of individual species within a 28.3 m² circular plot (3-meter radius) with the spring flume as the center point. Vegetation cover was recorded as a visual estimate of foliar cover, recorded by species. Cover values were recorded as cover classes using the Daubenmire cover scale (Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg 19741): 95 to 100% foliar cover equaled cover class 6, 75 to 95% foliar cover equaled cover class 5, etc. The cover class ranges are provided in Tables 1-4. Other species outside of the plot, but still within the riparian zone around the springs were also noted. Descriptions of each spring are provided below. Appendix A provides photo-documentation of the condition at each spring as well as the main collector ditch.

2.4.3 Physical Habitat Conditions

Physical habitat conditions were recorded at each of the four spring locations where 2-inch Baski cutthroat flumes have been installed. Spring observations included measurement of channel length, width, depth, and flow rate. Flow rates were determined using the water depth on the Baski flumes in accordance with manufacturer's specifications to calculate gallons per minute (GPM).

2.4.4 Benthic Macroinvertebrate Surveys

Benthic macroinvertebrate surveys were conducted on November 20, 2016 are compared with the second-year post water extraction surveys on September 30 - October 1 2020. The focus of the survey was to determine the types of species groups with an emphasis on determining if any rare or sensitive species were present. The survey also focused on determining presence of spring snails, and in particular Wong's springsnail (*Pyrgulopsis wongi*), which serve as an indicator species for purposes of determining current baseline condition. The survey methodology included the sampling of four springs (CBS-2, CBS-4, CBS-6, and CBS-9) using a 500-micron mesh dip net. At each spring a total of 20 sweep samples were collected with the dip net. All samples were elutriated and cleaned in the field, placed in jars, labeled, and preserved in 10% formalin. Samples were processed by Jon Lee, a qualified invertebrate taxonomist.

3.0 Results

The results are provided below. For the vegetation transects, each has a summary table of percent cover. For each species, the wetland indicator status is provided. Table 1 defines each wetland indicator category. The location of the permanent transects for each monitoring type are shown on Figure 1. Photographs of each transect from the start- and end-point locations are provided in Appendix A. A complete list of all plants encountered on the Cabin Bar Ranch and their wetland status is provided in Appendix B.

Table 1: Definition of Wetland Indicator Status

Indicator Category	Wetland Occurrence
Obligate wetland species (OBL)	Occurs almost always in wetlands (estimated >99% probability of occurring in a wetland)
Facultative wetland species (FACW)	Usually occurs in a wetland (estimated 67-99% probability of occurring in a wetland)
Facultative species (FAC)	Equally likely to occur in a wetland or a non-wetland (estimated 33-67% probability of occurring in a wetland)
Facultative upland species (FACU)	Usually occurs in non-wetlands (estimated 1-33% probability of occurring in a wetland)
Obligate upland species (UPL)	Occurs in wetlands in another region but occurs almost always under natural conditions in non-wetlands in Region O (California) (estimated <1% probability of occurring in a wetland). Plants not listed in Reed 1988 are assumed to be obligate upland species (UPL)
(Reed 1988 and Lich	var et. al. 2014)

3.1 Assessment of Vegetation Health (Vegetation Cross-Section Method)

Transect 1

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019379N, 407925E

Heading: 15 deg.; Length: 47.5m

Species	Percei	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus Status 2014	Status
Populus fremontii	73	100	27	FACW
Fraxinus velutina	4	0	-4	FAC
Salix laevigata	1	17	16	FACW
Artemesia tridentata	0	7	7	UPL
Euthamia occidentalis	0	0	0	FACW
Juncus balticus	0	0	0	FACW
Salsola tragus	0	0	0	FACU

Transect 2

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019379N, 407969E

Heading: 0 deg., Length: 33.5m

Species	Percei	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus Status 2014	Status
Fraxinus velutina	67	73	6	FACW
Salix laevigata	18	33	15	FACW
Ericameria nauseosa	13	8	-5	UPL
Artemisia tridentata	0	6	6	UPL

Transect 3

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019375N, 408049E

Heading: 12 deg.; Length: 27m

Species	Percer	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus Status 2014	Status
Salix laevigata	22	67	45	FACW
Fraxinus velutina	9	0	-9	FAC
Populus fremontii	0	25	25	FACW
Ericameria neauseosa	0	10	10	UPL

Helianthus annus

Transect 4

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019382N, 408165E

Heading: 5 deg.; Length: 50 m

Species	Percer	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus Status 2014	Status
Fraxinus velutina	30	39	9	FAC
Populus fremontii	12	7	-5	FACW
Distichlis spicata	14	37	23	FAC
Ericameria nauseosa	13	9	-4	UPL
Salix laevigata	12	32	20	FACW
Leymus triticoides	4	0	-4	FAC
Salsola tragus	0	5	5	FACW
Helianthus annus	0	6	6	FACU

Transect 5

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019352N, 408269E

Heading: 14 deg.; Length: 50m

Species	Percei	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
species	2014	2020	2020 minus Status 2014	Status
Salix laevigata	53	32	-21	FACW
Fraxinus velutina	19	43	24	FAC
Ericameria nauseosa	4	9	5	UPL
Distichlis spicata	0	5	5	FAC
Salsola tragus	0	1	1	FACU
Ambrosia acanthicarpa	0	3	3	UPL
Datura stramonium	0	15	15	n/a
Juncus balticus	0	3	3	FACW

Transect 6

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019424 N, 408392E

Heading: 170 deg.; Length: 50m

Species	Percer	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator Status
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus 2014	

Juncus balticus	4	17	13	FACW
Ericameria nauseosa	3	19	16	UPL
Salsola tragus	0	8	8	FACU
Ambrosia acanthicarpa	0	10	10	UPL
Distichlis spicata	0	5	5	FAC
Rumex crispus	0	1	1	FAC
Lactuca serriola	0	19	19	FAC
Polypogon monspeliensis	0	50	50	FACW
Erigeron canadensis	0	25	25	FACU
Melilotus indicus	0	2	2	FACU
Polygonum aviculare	0	2	2	FAC
Artemisia tridentata	0	4	4	UPL
Cichorium intybus	0	1	1	FACU

Transect 7

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019626N, 408441E

Heading: 164 deg.; Length: 50 m

Species	Percer	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus Status 2014	Status
Juncus balticus	47	36	-11	FACW
Distichlis spicata	19	40	21	FAC
Anemopsis californica	10	42	32	OBL
Salsola tragus	0	16	16	FACU
Ericameria nauseosa	0	30	30	UPL
Lactuca serriola	0	4	4	FAC
Asclepias fascicularis	0	1	1	FAC
Elymus triticoides	0	1	1	FAC

Transect 8

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019161 N, 408232E

Heading: 195 deg.; Length 50m

Species	Percei	nt cover	Difference	Wetland Indicator
species	2014	2020	2019 minus 2014	Status
Carex praegracilis	58	45	-13	FACW

Juncus balticus	25	18	-7	FACW
Carex nebrascensis	11	23	12	OBL
Distichlis spicata	3	7	4	FAC
Lactuca serriola	1	3	2	FAC
Euthamia occidentalis	0	46	46	FACW
Rosa woodsii	0	12	12	FACU
Epilobium ciliatum	0	3	3	FACW
Cirsium vulgare	0	4	4	FACU
Solanum americanum	0	4	4	FACU
Asclepias fascicularis	0	1	1	FAC
Solidago velutina ssp. Californica	0	3	3	UPL

3.2 Measurement of Riparian and Wetland Vegetation and Woody Riparian Species Regeneration (Greenline Method and Woody Species Regeneration)

Results of the greenline transects are provided below in the tables labeled "south bank" and "north bank." Results of the woody species regeneration tally are provided as charts illustrating the cumulative counts of individuals in each age class (Figures 2 and 3). Age class definitions follow those in Winward 2000 in which "sapling" is defined as one- to two-year-old tree, less than a quarter of its mature height; "young" is a three- to 10-year-old tree, a quarter to half its mature height; "mature" is a full height tree, with more than half the canopy alive; "decadent" is a mature tree with less than half the canopy alive; and "dead" is a tree with no canopy alive. A number of sapling-age individuals of ash and cottonwood were observed on the top of the banks outside of the greenline transect zone. Representative photographs of the greenline transects on both banks are provided in Appendix A.

Greenline (South bank)

Species	Per	Wetland Indicator Status	
	2014	2020	Status
Salix laevigata	44	65	FACW
Fraxinus velutina	26	25	FAC
Populus fremontii	20	20	FACW
Rosa woodsii	2	13	FACU




Figure 2: Regeneration Tally for the Greenline – South Bank

Greenline (North bank)

Species	Percent cover		Wetland Indicator
	2014	2020	Status
Salix laevigata	72	76	FACW
Populus fremontii	26	0	FACW
Fraxinus velutina	8	35	FAC




Figure 3: Regeneration Tally for the Greenline - North Bank

3.3 Monitoring Stations and Monitoring Regime and Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP) transects

Results for Monitoring Stations and Monitoring Regime transects are presented together with the GMMRP transect. Two of the transects established as Monitoring Stations—transects "A" and "C"—also serve as data points for the GMMRP transects. All five of these transects, labeled as transects "A" through "E" on Figure 1 were monitored using the same methodology described above.

Transect A

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019197N, 408042E

Heading: 50 deg.; Length: 60m

	Percen	nt cover	Difference	Wetland
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus 2014	Indicator Status
Anemopsis californica	1	7	6	OBL
Asclepias fascicularis	0	5	5	FAC
Carex praegracilis	20	1	-19	FACW
Distichlis spicata	0	18	18	FAC
Elymus triticoides	0	4	4	FAC
Ericameria neauseosa	0	1	1	NL
Euthamia occidentalis	1	7	6	FACW
Juncus balticus	4	2	-2	FACW
Lactuca serriola	0	2	2	FACU
Persicaria lapathifolia	2	0	-2	OBL
Phragmites australis	<1	35	34	FACW
Rubus sp.	0	4	4	NL
Salsola tragus	0	9	9	FACU
Schoenoplectus americanus	34	0	-34	OBL
Scirpus micocarpus	0	1	1	OBL
Solanum americanum	9	1	-8	FACU
Solidago velutina ssp. californica	0	7	7	UPL
Thatch	0	22		
Bare Ground	0	0		
Net change of Fac	cultative and Obliga	ate species	+18	

Transect B

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019054N, 408127E

Heading: 60 deg. Length: 60m

	Percen	it cover	Difference	Wetland
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus 2014	Indicator Status
Anemopsis californica	0.1	18	17.9	OBL
Carex praegracilis	5	7	2	FACW
Cichorium intybus	1	0	-1	FACU
Elymus triticoides	24	5	-19	FAC
Ericameria nauseosa	0	4	4	UPL
Euthamia occidentalis	0	14	14	FACW
Juncus balticus	4	0	-4	FACW
Lactuca serriola	4	1	-3	FAC
Lotus corniculatus	0.1	0	-0.1	FAC
Mentha arvensis	0	5	5	FAC
Phragmites australis	0	0.4	0.4	FACW
Polypogon monspeliensis	0	2	2	FACW
Rosa woodsii	16	0	-16	FACU
Rubus sp.	0	4	4	NL
Salix laevigata	0	33	33	FACW
Schoenoplectus americanus	0	7	7	OBL
Xanthium strumarium	0	0.3	0.3	FAC
Net change of Fac	cultative and Obliga	ate species	+55.5	

Transect CTransect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4018092N, 408425E Heading: 90; Length: 60m

	Percen	t cover	Difference	Wetland
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus 2014	Indicator Status
Anemopsis californica	0.1	6	5.9	OBL
Bassia hyssopifolia	0	3	3	FAC
Carex praegracilis	5	7	2	FACW
Cichorium intybus	1	0	-1	FACU
Elymus triticoides	24	17	-7	FAC
Ericameria nauseosa	0	10	10	UPL
Euthamia occidentalis	0	11	11	FACW
Helianthus annuus	0	7	7	FACU
Juncus balticus	4	12	8	FACW
Lactuca serriola	4	0	-4	FAC
Lotus corniculatus	0.1	1	0.9	FAC
Malvella leprosa	0	1	1	FACU
Polypogon monspeliensis	0	1	1	FACW
Rosa woodsii	16	18	2	FACU
Rumex crispus	0	1	1	FAC
Xanthium strumarium	0	5	5	FAC
Net change of Fac	ultative and Obliga	ate species	+27	

Transect D

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4017967N, 408433E

Heading: 90; Length: 60m

	Percen	it cover	Difference	Wetland
Species	2014	2020	2019 minus 2020	Indicator Status
Asclepias fascicularis	0.1	1	0.9	FAC
Carex praegracilis	0.1	0	-0.1	FACW
Cichorium intybus	0	0.4	0.4	FACU
Distichlis spicata	1	0	-1	FAC
Digitaria sanguinalis	0	9	9	FACU
Elymus triticoides	0	25	25	FAC
Ericameria nauseosa	0	1	1	FACU
Euthamia occidentalis	0.1	0.4	0.3	FACW
Glycyrrhiza lepidota	12	15	3	FAC
Helianthus annuus	0	1	1	FACU
Juncus balticus	8	15	7	FACW
Lactuca serriola	0	4	4	FAC
Leymus triticoides	0.1	0	-0.1	FAC
Lotus corniculatus	1	2	1	FAC
Plantago major	0	1	1	FAC
Polypogon monspeliensis	0	20	20	FACW
Rumex crispus	0	1	1	FAC
Salix laevigata	22	1	-21	FACW
Xanthium strumarium	0	5	5	FAC
Net change of Fac	ultative and Obliga	ate species	+46	

Transect E

Transect start coordinates: NAD 83, Zone 11, 4019424N, 408392E

Heading: 170 deg.; Length: 60m

	Percen	it cover	Difference	Wetland
Species	2014	2020	2020 minus 2014	Indicator Status
Ambrosia acanthicarpa	0	9	9	UPL
Artemisia tridentata	0	2	2	UPL
Distichlis spicata	0	1	1	FAC
Ericameria nauseosa	6	44	38	UPL
Erigeron canadensis	0	4	4	FACU
Juncus balticus	3	6	3	FACW
Lactuca serriola	0	5	5	FAC
Melilotus indicus	0	0.6	0.6	FACU
Polypogon monspeliensis	0	21	21	FACW
Rumex crispus	0	7	7	FAC
Salsola tragus	0	0.6	0.6	FACU
Net change of Fac	ultative and Obliga	ate species	+37	

3.4 Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (GMMRP)

3.4.1 Vegetation transects

As part of the GMMRP monitoring requirement, GANDA botanists surveyed transects B and D on Figure 1 following the same methodology described in Section 2.3 above. Data gathered from surveys at transects A and C were used to fulfill both the requirements described in BIO-4 for the RWMAMP, as well as the vegetation monitoring required as part of the GMMRP. Results of these surveys are presented above in section 3.3.

3.4.2 Spring Fault Vegetation

CBS-9

CBS-9 is the northernmost spring. The spring originates from the ground under a cover of red willow (*Salix laevigata*). The spring flume is located at the edge of the red willow thicket above the water (Photos 1a and 1b in Appendix A). From the flume the water flows through a small hand dug ditch 40 cm wide into the

main collector ditch approximately 15 m east. Vegetation surrounding the hand dug ditch is dominated by herbaceous species such as American bulrush, water smartweed, western goldentop and cattail. A full list of plant species around CBS-9 is presented in Table 1, with vegetative cover given for those species within a 28.3 m2 circular plot around the spring flume.

Table 1: Vegetation at spring	Table 1: Vegetation at spring, CBS-9				
Scientific name	Common name	Wetland Indicator	2016 Cover Class*	2020 Cover Class*	
Tree cover			3	3	
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW	3	3	
Herb cover			5	6	
Schoenoplectus americanus	American bulrush	OBL	3	2	
Veronica serpyllifolia var. humifusa	thyme-leaved speedwell	FACW	1	-	
Berula erecta	cut-leaf water parsnip	OBL	1	1	
Persicaria lapathifolium	water smartweed	OBL	2	2	
Phragmites australis	common reed	FACW	1	-	
Asclepias fascicularis	narrow-leaved milkweed	FAC	1	-	
Euthamia occidentalis	Western goldentop	FACW	1	3	
Typha latifolia	cattail	OBL	1	2	
Mimulus guttatus	seep-spring monkeyflower	OBL	2	-	
Anemopsis californica	yerba mansa	OBL	1	-	
Salsola tragus	Russian thistle	NL	1	-	
Ludwigia peploides	floating water primrose	OBL	1	-	
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	FAC	1	-	
Rubus armeniacus	Himalayan blackberry	FAC	-	1	
Solidago velutina ssp. californica	California goldenrod	UPL	-	4	
Total Vegetation Cover			6	6	
Other species outside of plot					
Rumex crispus	curly dock	FAC	Х	-	
Apocynum cannabinum	Indian hemp	FAC	Х	-	
Epilobium ciliatum	willow herb	FACW	Х	Х	
Carex praegracilis	slender sedge	FACW	Х	-	
Juncus balticus	Baltic rush	OBL	Х	-	
Solanum americanum	American black nightshade	FACU	Х	-	

Wetland 2016 2020					
Scientific name	Common name	Indicator	2016 Cover Class*	2020 Cover Class*	
Asclepias fascicularis	narrow –leaf milkweed	FAC	-	Х	
Anemopsis californica	yerba mansa	OBL	-	Х	
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	FAC	-	Х	
Lactuca serriola	prickly lettuce	FAC	-	х	
Rubus armeniacus	Himalayan blackberry	FAC	-	х	
Salsola tragus	Russian thistle	FACU	-	х	
*Foliar cover is recorde	d as a cover class using Daube	nmire cover	classes (Muelle	r-Dombois &	
Ellenberg 1974).					
Cover Range of					
class Cover					
6 95-100					
5 75-95					
4 50-75					
3 25-50					
2 5-25					
_					

CBS-6

The spring, CBS-6, originates in a sump pond that is located in the shade of a mature red willow (Photos 2a and 2b in Appendix A). The pond is approximately 2.5 meters in diameter and five square meters in area, and approximately 40 cm deep with a soft muddy bottom. The spring flume is directly adjacent to the pond. From the flume the water flows into the main collector ditch approximately 15 m to the east through a small hand dug ditch 30 cm wide. Vegetation surrounding the hand dug ditch is dominated by herbaceous species including field mint, common reed, yerba mansa, slender sedge and water smartweed. A full list of plant species around CBS-6 is presented in Table 2, with vegetative cover given for those species within a 28.3 m2 circular plot around the spring flume.

Table 2: Vegetation at sprir	ng, CBS-6			
Scientific name	Common name	Wetland Indicator	2016 Cover Class*	2020 Cover Class*
Tree cover			3	3
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW	3	3
Herb cover			5	6
Euthamia occidentalis	Western goldentop	FACW	3	-
Mentha arvensis	field mint	FACW	3	5
Epilobium ciliatum	willow herb	FACW	2	1

		Wetland	2046	2020
Scientific name	Common name	Indicator	2016 Cover Class*	2020 Cover Class*
Phragmites australis	common reed	FACW	2	2
Persicaria lapathifolium	water smartweed	OBL	2	2
Anemposis californica	yerba mansa	OBL	2	2
Nasturium officinale	watercress	OBL	2	-
Carex praegracilis	slender sedge	FACW	2	2
Schoenoplectus americanus	American bulrush	OBL	2	1
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	FAC	-	2
Elymus tritcoides	creeping wildrye	FAC	-	2
Total Vegetation Cover			6	6
Other species outside of plot				
Berula erecta	cut-leaf water parsnip	OBL	x	-
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	FAC	x	-
Cyperus eragrostis	tall flatsedge	FACW	x	-
Eleaganus angustifolia	Russian olive	FAC	x	-
Elymus tritcoides	creeping wildrye	FAC	x	-
Equisetum arvense	common horsetail	FAC	x	-
Fraxinus velutina	velvet ash	FACW	x	Х
Juncus balticus	Baltic rush	OBL	x	-
Rosa woodsii	wood rose	FACU	x	Х
Veronica serpyllifolia var. humifusa	thyme-leaved speedwell	FACW	Х	-
Vitis girdiana	Southern California grape	FAC	×	-
Euthamia occidentalis	Western goldentop	FACW	-	Х
Persicaria lapathifolium	water smartweed	OBL	-	Х
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW	-	Х
Schoenoplectus americanus	American bulrush	OBL	-	Х
Solidago lepida	Western Canada	FAC	-	Х

Table 2	Table 2: Vegetation at spring, CBS-6						
Scienti	fic name		Indicator		2020 Cover Class*		
*Foliar	cover is recorded as a	<u>a cover cl</u> ass using Daubenr	nire cover c	lasses (Mueller-	Dombois &		
Ellenbe	erg 1974).						
Cover	Range of						
class	Cover						
6	95-100						
5	75-95						
4	50-75						
3	25-50						
2	5-25						
1	0-5						

CBS-2

The spring, CBS-2, originated under a dense stand of common reed as observed in 2016. In 2020, a more varied shrub and herbaceous cover surrounded the spring. The spring flume is surrounded by cement bags and was installed in a clearing in the reed cover (Photos 3a and 3b in Appendix A). Vegetation surrounding the hand-dug ditch is dominated by shrub and herbaceous species including wood rose, California golden rod and water smartweed. A full list of plant species around CBS-2 is presented in Table 3, with vegetative cover given for those species within a 28.3 m2 circular plot around the spring flume.

Table 3: Vegetation at spri	ing, CBS-2			
Scientific name	Common name	Wetland Indicator	2016 Cover Class*	2020 Cover Class*
Tree cover			3	5
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW	3	4
Eleaganus angustifolia	Russian olive	FAC	1	-
Fraxinus velutina	velvet ash	FAC	-	5
Shrub cover			3	4
Rosa woodsii	Wood rose	FACU	3	5
Salix exigua	sandbar willow	FACW	2	3
Fraxinus velutina	velvet ash	FAC	1	-
Herb cover			3	4
Phragmites australis	common reed	FACW	3	1
Epilobium ciliatum	willow herb	FACW	2	-
Persicaria lapathifolium	water smartweed	OBL	2	2
Berula erecta	cut-leaf water parsnip	OBL	1	2

Common name	Indicator	Cover Class*	Cover Class*
field mint	FACW	1	-
yerba mansa	OBL	1	-
common horsetail	FAC	1	-
American bulrush	OBL	1	1
Western goldentop	FACW	-	2
California goldenrod	UPL	-	3
		6	5
thyme-leaved speedwell	FACW	Х	-
common reed	FACW	-	Х
sandbar willow	FACW	-	Х
American bulrush	OBL	-	х
California goldenrod	UPL	-	Х
<u>a cover cl</u> ass using Dauben	mire cover	ciasses (Muelle	r-Dombois &
	yerba mansa common horsetail American bulrush Western goldentop California goldenrod thyme-leaved speedwell common reed sandbar willow American bulrush California goldenrod	yerba mansa COBL Common horsetail FAC American bulrush OBL Western goldentop FACW California goldenrod UPL thyme-leaved speedwell FACW common reed FACW sandbar willow FACW American bulrush California goldenrod UPL	yerba mansa OBL 1 common horsetail FAC 1 American bulrush OBL 1 Western goldentop FACW - California goldenrod UPL - thyme-leaved speedwell FACW X common reed FACW - sandbar willow FACW - American bulrush OBL -

CBS-4

The spring, CBS-4, originated under a dense stand of American bulrush as observed in 2016, and was surrounded by sandbags that had degraded and broken down. In 2020, the spring was not located due to dense growth of common reed approximately 12 feet tall. Vegetation surrounding the spring was dominated by herbaceous species mainly common reed, with some bullrush and western goldenrod. A full list of plant species around CBS-4 is presented in Table 4, with vegetative cover given for those species within a 28.3 m2 circular plot around the spring flume.

		Wetland	2016	2020
Scientific name	Common name	Indicator	Cover Class*	Cover Class*
Tree cover			3	3
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW	3	3
Fraxinus velutina	velvet ash	FAC	2	1
Shrub cover			3	2
Rosa woodsia	Wood rose	FACU	3	2
Herb cover			4	6
Schoenoplectus americanus	American bulrush	OBL	3	2
Phragmites australis	common reed	FACW	2	6
Epilobium ciliatum	willow herb	FACW	2	1
Carex nebrascensis	Nebraska sedge	OBL	2	-
Euthamia occidentalis	Western goldentop	FACW	1	
Berula erecta	cut-leaf water parsnip	OBL	1	-
Persicaria lapathifolium	water smartweed	OBL	1	-
Mentha arvensis	field mint	FACW	1	-
Equisetum arvense	common horsetail	FAC	1	-
Typha angustifolia	cattail	OBL	1	-
Typha latifolia	cattail	OBL	-	-
Solidago velutina ssp. californica	California goldenrod	UPL	-	2
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	FAC	-	1
Total Vegetation Cover			5	6
Other species outside of plot				
Anemposis californica	yerba mansa	OBL	х	-
Veronica serpyllifolia var. humifusa	thyme-leaved speedwell	FACW	X	-
Euthamia occidentalis	Western goldentop	FACW	-	Х
Phragmites australis	common reed	FACW	-	Х
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW	-	Х
Schoenoplectus americanus	American bulrush	OBL	-	Х
Vitis californica	California grape	FACU	-	Х
Rosa woodsia	Wood rose	FACU	-	х

*Foliar	*Foliar cover is recorded as a cover class using Daubenmire cover classes (Mueller-Dombois &						
Ellenbe	Ellenberg 1974).						
Cover	Range of						
class	<u>Cover</u>						
6	95-100						
5	75-95						
4	50-75						
3	25-50						
2	5-25						
1	0-5						

Main Collector Ditch

The "Main Collector Ditch" runs in a northwesterly to southeasterly orientation to the east of the springs along the Spring Line Fault. When originally dug (perhaps in the 1980's), the ditch was likely excavated to a width of approximately 2.5 meters wide and 2.5 meters deep. The exact current width of the ditch was difficult to measure as a result of the willow and reed vegetation that had grown over the banks and within the ditch. For most of the length of the ditch within the survey area, the ditch had standing water along its length averaging 30 to 60 cm deep and at the northern end was completely dry. Dense vegetation cover on the banks and tops of the ditch was dominated by common reed, American bulrush and cattails, with scattered red willow and Russian olive growing throughout the length of the ditch. The dense vegetation was growing into the ditch and formed a nearly continuous cover over the water surface in the ditch for most of its length. Photos 5 and 6 in Appendix A provides an illustration of a typical segment of the main collector ditch.

3.4.3 Physical Habitat Conditions

Physical Habitat Conditions at Cabin Bar Ranch Springs (CBS-2, 4, 6, 9) on January 13, 2017 and, May 4, 2021.

	CBS-2	CBS-4	CBS-6	CBS-9
Channel Length (m)	3	5.5	14	14
Channel Depth (cm)	2	2	2	5
Channel Width (m)	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.4
2021 Flow Measurem	ents			
Front Gauge (mm)	24.38	30.48	76.2	9.14
Back Gauge (mm)	12.19	18.29	274.32	6.96
Flow Rate (gpm)	1.44	2.25	14.0	0.20
2016 Flow Measurem	ents			
Front Gauge (mm)	9	5	18	16
Back Gauge (mm)	4	3	10	6
Flow Rate (gpm)	0.4	0.17	2.0	1.5

The following observations were recorded at each spring location:

CBS-2

CBS-2 is located adjacent to the main collector ditch and originates in an area of vegetation. The spring water flows approximately 3 m before joining with the main collection ditch. The channel width is approximately 20 cm wide by 2 cm deep. The flow rate was approximately 0.4 gpm in 2016 and 1.44 gpm in 2021.

CBS-4

CBS-4 originates in a broad area of vegetation located directly behind the spring flume. The channel is approximately 5 m long from the edge of the flume to the main collection ditch. The

channel is 25 cm wide by 2 cm deep. The flow rate was measured at approximately 0.17 gpm in 2016 and 2.25 gpm in 2021.

CBS-6

CBS-6 is located adjacent to the horse stables at the caretaker residence. The spring forms an imperfect 4 by 4 m pond located beneath a large willow tree. Spring water flows 14 m from the flume down a 30 cm wide by 2 cm deep channel to the collector ditch. The flow rate was measured at 2 gpm in 2016 and 14 gpm in 2021.

CBS-9

CBS-9 is the northernmost spring on the Cabin Bar Ranch. The spring originates under a dense canopy of red willow. From the flume the water flows through a channel approximately 14 m long, 40 cm wide and 5 cm deep. The flow rate was measured at 1.5 gpm in 2016 and 0.20 gpm in 2021.

3.4.4 Benthic Macroinvertebrates collected from Cabin Bar Ranch springs, Owens Valley, CA, November 20, 2016 (at CBS-2 and CBS-6) and October 1, 2020 (at CBS-2, CBS-6 and CBS-9).

Qualitative dip-net samples, 500 micron mesh, 500 organism subsample. For Kleinfelder/GANDA

Determined by Jon Lee Consulting jlee@humboldt1.com

Higher Classification	Family	Genus/species	Common Name	CBS-2	CBS-6	CBS-9	CBS-2	CBS-6
				10/1/20	10/1/20	10/1/20	11/20/16	11/20/16
Platyhelminthes: Turbellaria		Turbellaria	flat worms	3	30	3	1	1
Nemertea: Enopla	Tetrastemmatida	Prostoma		2	2	19		8
Mollusca: Bivalvia	Sphaeriidae	Pisidum	fingernail clams	323	361	136	623	174
Mollusca: Gastropoda	Lymnaeidae	Lymnaea	freshwater snails					
Mollusca: Gastropoda	Physidae	Physa	tadpole snails		4		3	61
Mollusca: Gastropoda	Physidae	Physa	tadpole snails	3			8	195
Annelida: Oligochaeta				8	155	21		
Arthropoda: Malacostraca	Hyalellidae	Hyalella			10	1		5
Arthropoda: Ostracoda				2		8		
Insecta: Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	Callibaetis	mayflies					1
Insecta: Trichoptera	Limnephilidae	(too small to ID)	caddisflies					1
Insecta: Odonata	Aeshnidae	Aeshna	dragonflies	1				
Insecta: Odonata	Aeshnidae	Anax junius	dragonflies					3
Insecta: Odonata	Cordulegastridae	Cordulegaster dorsalis	Pacific spiketail					
Insecta: Odonata	Coenagrionidae	Argia	dragonflies					1
Insecta: Coleoptera	Dytiscidae	Agabus	predaceous diving				1	5
Insecta: Coleoptera	Dytiscidae	Laccophilus	water beetles					
Insecta: Coleoptera	Dytiscidae	Sanfilippodytes	predaceous diving					1
Insecta: Coleoptera	Hydraenidae	Ochthebius	minute moss beetles					1
Insecta: Coleoptera	Scirtidae	Cyphon	marsh beetles					
Insecta: Diptera	Ceratopogonida	Bezzia/Palpomyia	no-se-um midges					1
Insecta: Diptera	Ceratopogonida	Dasyhelea	no-se-um midges					7

Higher Classification	Family	Genus/species	Common Name	CBS-2	CBS-6	CBS-9	CBS-2	CBS-6
				10/1/20	10/1/20	10/1/20	11/20/16	11/20/16
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironomus	midges		31			17
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Phaenopsectra	midges				1	
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Micropsectra	midges		2		1	43
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Larsia	midges		1			
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Limnophyes	midges					1
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Metriocnemus	midges				1	
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Alotanypus	midges		7		5	27
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Zavrelimyia	midges				1	1
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Chaetocladius	midges	1				
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Corynoneura	midges					
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Oxycera	soldier flies		1	1		
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Ptychoptera	crane flies					
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Tipula	crane flies					
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Thienemanniella	midges					
Insecta: Diptera	Chironomidae	Trichoclinocera	midges					
Insecta: Diptera	Culicidae	Culex	mosquitoes					7
Insecta: Diptera	Culicidae	Culiseta inornata	mosquitoes				1	22
Taxa Richness					11	7	11	22
Percent change in taxa rich	Percent change in taxa richness between 2016 and 2020. Average decline is 43%.				-50%			

4.0 References

- GeoSyntec, Inc. and GANDA 2014 (June). *Groundwater Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan, Cabin Bar Ranch, U.S. Highway 395, Olancha, CA*. Prepared for Crystal Geyser Roxane, LLC.
- Lichvar, R.W., M. Butterwick, N.C. Melvin, and W.N. Kirchner. 2014. *The National Wetland Plant List: 2014 update of wetland ratings.* Phytoneuron 2014-41: 1–42.
- Mueller-Dombois, D. & Ellenberg, H. 1974. Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology. New York: Wiley and Sons.
- PCR Services 2012. (November). *Crystal Geyser Roxane Cabin Bar Ranch Water Bottling Facility Project Final EIR, Inyo County*. Prepared for Inyo County Planning Department.
- Reed, P.B., Jr. 1988. *National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- Winward, Alma H. 2000. *Monitoring the vegetation resources in riparian areas*. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-47. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.

Appendix A: Photo-documentation					



Transect 1 – Start – 2014 above-2020 below. For all photographs in this appendix, transect "Start" photographs are taken from the start of the transect viewing across the transect area. The "End" photographs are taken from the opposite end of the transect and view back into the transect area toward the "start" side.





Transect 1 – End 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 2 – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 2 – End 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 3 – Start 2014 above-2020 below





2014 above-2020 below





Transect 4 – Start 2014 above-2020 below.






Transect 4 – End





Transect 5 – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 5 – End 2014 above-2020 below






Transect 6 (and Transect E)
Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 6 (and Transect E) End 2014 above-2020 below






Transect 7 – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 7 – End 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 8 – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect 8 – End 2014 above-2020 below





Greenline Transect South bank – representative photo along transect 2014 above-2020 below





Greenline Transect North bank – representative photo along transect 2014 above-2020 below





Transect A – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect A – End 2014 above-2020 below





Transect B – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect B – End 2014 above-2020 below





Transect C – Start 2014 above-2020 below





Transect C –End 2014 above-2020 below





Transect D – Start 2014 above- 2020 below





Transect D – End 2014 above- 2020 below



Spring fault line Spring Photos



Photos 1a and 1b: CBS–9 – the spring water originates beneath the large red willow in the center of the photo. Water flows through a thirty cm wide hand-dug ditch for a distance of fifteen meters to the main collector ditch.



Photos 2a and 2b: CBS-6 - the spring daylights into a small pond (2.5 m in diameter, 5 square meters in area) at the base of a large red willow. The water then flows through the flume, then 11 meters along a small hand-dug feeder ditch to the main collector ditch.



Photos 3a and 3b: CBS 2 – The spring originates underneath dense common reed just to the right of the flume (surrounded by cement bags).



Photos 4a and 4b: CBS 4 - The spring originates in grazed American bulrush behind the flume (surrounded by degraded sand bags in the center of the 2014 photo). The spring was not located during 2020 site visit due to dense overgrowth of common reed.



Photo 5: Main Collector Ditch –2014 The ditch runs in a northwesterly to southeasterly orientation to the east of the springs along the Spring Line Fault. The ditch averages 4 meters wide and has standing water along its length averaging 40 cm deep and dry at the northern end. Vegetation (predominantly common reed, bulrush, cattails, with scattered red willow and Russian olive) is growing into the water within the ditch and covering the water surface



Photo 6: Main Collector Ditch –2014 Vegetation (predominantly common reed, bulrush and cattails with scattered red willow and Russian olive) is growing into the water within the ditch and covering the water surface

Appendix B: List of Plant Species Encountered on the Cabin Bar Ranch and their wetland status

Scientific Name	Common Name	Wetland Indicator Status			
FERNS AND FERN ALLIES	FERNS AND FERN ALLIES				
Equisataceae	Horsetail family				
Equisetum arvense	common horsetail	FAC			
ANGIOSPERMS (DICOTYLEDONS)					
Amaranthaceae	Amaranth Family				
Nitrophila occidentalis	borax weed	FACW			
-					
Apiaceae	Carrot Family				
Berula erecta	cut-leaf water parsnip	OBL			
Asclepiadaceae	Milkweed Family				
Apocynum cannabinum	indian hemp	FAC			
Asclepias fascicularis	narrow –leaf milkweed	FAC			
Asclepias speciosa	showy milkweed	FAC			
Asteraceae	Sunflower Family				
Acamptopappus sphaerocephalus var. hirtellus	rayless goldenhead	UPL			
Ambrosia acanthiacarpa	annual bur-sage	UPL			
Ambrosia dumosa	burro weed	UPL			
Ambrosia salsola	cheeseweed	UPL			
Artemisia tridentata	big sagebrush	UPL			
Cichorium intybus	chichory	FACU			
Cirsium vulgare	bull thistle	FACU			
Encelia actonii	Acton encelia	UPL			
Ericameria nauseosa	rubber rabbitbrush	UPL			
Ericameria teretifolia	green rabbitbrush	UPL			
Erigeron canadensis	Canadian horseweed	FACU			
Euthamia occidentalis	western goldentop	FACW			
Gutierrezia microcephala	threadleaf snakeweed	UPL			
Helianthus annus	common sunflower	FACU			
Lactuca serriola	prickly lettuce	FAC			
Malacothrix glabrata	Desert dandelion	UPL			
Pyrrocoma racemosa	clustered goldenweed	FAC			
Sonchus asper	spiny sowthistle	FACU			

Solidago lepida	Western Canada goldenrod	FAC
Stephanomeria pauciflora	wire lettuce	UPL
Symphyotrichum ascendens	western aster	FAC
Taraxacum officinale	common dandelion	FACU
Xanthium strumarium	cocklebur	FAC
Boraginaceae	Borage Family	
Cryptantha circucissa	western forget-me-not	UPL
Heliotropium curassavicum	heliotrope	FACU
Plagiobothrys cusickii	Cusick's popcornflower	UPL
Plagiobothrys parishii	Parish's popcornflower	OBL
Tiquilia nuttallii	Nutall's coldenia	UPL
Brassicaceae	Mustard Family	
Descurainia pinnata	western tansy-mustard	UPL
Descurainia sophia	flix weed	UPL
Lepidium fremontii	desert alyssum	UPL
Nasturium officinale	watercress	OBL
Plagiobothrys parishii	Parish's popcornflower	OBL
Sisymbrium altissimum	tall tumblemustard	FACU
Chenopodiaceae	Goosefoot Family	
Atriplex canescens	four-wing saltbrush	UPL
Atriplex polycarpa	allscale	FACU
Atriplex prostrate	fat hen	FACW
Bassia hyssopifolia	fivehorn smotherweed	FAC
Chenopodium album	lambsquarters	FACU
Chenopodium murale	nettleleaf goosefoot	FACU
Halogeton glomeratus	saltlover	UPL
Salsola tragus	Russian thistle	FACU
Eleaganaceae	Oleaster Family	
Eleaganus angustifolia	Russian olive	FAC
Licaganas angustijona	INUSSIGIT UTIVE	IAC
Fabaceae	Legume Family	
Gleditsia triacanthos	honeylocust	FAC
Glycyrrhiza lepidota	American licorice	FAC
Lotus corniculatus	birdsfoot trefoil	FAC
Medicago polymorpha	burclover	FACU
Melilotus indicus	yellow sweetclover	FACU

Trifolium fragiferum	strawberry clover	FACU
Trifolium wormskioldii	cow clover	FACW
Frankeniaceae	Frankenia Family	
Frankenia salina	alkali heath	FACW
Geraniaceae	Geranium Family	
Erodium cicutarium	red-stemmed filaree	UPL
Lamiaceae	Mint Family	
Mentha arvensis	field mint	FACW
Loasaceae	Blazing Star Family	
Mentzelia albicaulis	white stemmed blazing star	UPL
Malvaceae	Mallow Family	
Sidalcea covillei	Owen's Valley checkerbloom	FACU
Oleaceae	Olive Family	
Fraxinus velutina	velvet ash	FAC
Onagraceae	Evening Primrose Family	
Epilobium ciliatum	willow herb	FACW
Phyrmaceae	Hopseed Family	
Mimulus guttatus	seep-spring monkeyflower	OBL
Plantaginaceae	Plantain Family	
Plantago major	Great plantain	FAC
Veronica serpyllifolia var. humifusa	Thyme-leaved speedwell	FACW
Polgyonaceae	Buckwheat Family	
Eriogonum mohavensis	Mojave buckwheat	UPL
Eriogonum pusillum	yellow turbans	UPL
Persicaria amphibian	water smartweed	OBL
Persicaria lapathifolia	willow weed	OBL
Rumex crispus	dock	FAC

Rosaceae	Rose Family	
Potentilla gracilis	slender cinquefoil	FAC
Rosa woodsii	wild rose	FACU
Salicaceae	Willow Family	
Populus fremontii ssp. fremontii	Fremont's cottonwood	FACW
Salix exigua	sandbar willow	FACW
Salix laevigata	red willow	FACW
Saururaceae	Lizard's-Tail Family	
Anemopsis californica	yerba mansa	OBL
Solanaceae	Nightshade family	
Solanum americanum	American black nightshade	FACU
ANGIOSPERMS (MONOCOTYLEDONS)		
Scientific Name	Common Name	Τ
Cyperaceae	Sedge Family	
Carex nebrascensis	Nebraska sedge	OBL
Carex praegracilis	slender sedge	FACW
Cyperus eragrostis	tall flatsedge	FACW
Schoenoplectus americanus	American bulrush	OBL
Scirpus microcarpus	small-fruited bulrush	OBL
Juncaceae	Rush Family	
Juncus balticus	Baltic rush	FACW
Juncus bufonius	toad rush	FACW
Poaceae	Grass Family	
Bromus diandrus	ripgut brome	UPL
Bromus madritensis ssp. rubens	red brome	UPL
	cheat grass	UPL
Bromus tectorum		
Bromus tectorum Distichlis spicata	saltgrass	FAC
	saltgrass Great Basin wild rye	FAC FAC
Distichlis spicata		

Elymus triticoides	creeping wildrye	FAC
Festuca arundinacea	tall fescue	FACW
Holcus lanatus	velvetgrass	FAC
Hordeum jubatum	foxtail barley	FAC
Phragmites australis	common reed	FACW
Polypogon monspeliensis	rabbitsfoot grass	FACW
Schismus arabicus	schismus	UPL
Sporobolus airoides	Alkali sacaton	FAC
Typhaceae	Cattail family	
Typha latifolia	broadleaf cattail	OBL